

| Corporate Priority | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Proposed Scheme | North Farm Office and workshop LED replacement | | | | |
| Outline of Proposal, including timescales | Replace existing various lighting to offices and worshops targeting the highest consuming as a priority. Note workshops lighting is currently 400W per lamp and an LED equivalent may be as low as 70W per fitting. We have a significant failure rate currently and would like to replace with LED instead of expensive high level lamp replacements which are very costly. Approximate costs of repairs required currently is £7k and additional failures will begin to he a health and safety issue. We will repair only as required to be safe until this decision is made. | | | | |
| Sources of funding | Invest to Save Reserve. Potential Salix project as payback less than 5 years. Potential Decarbonisation grant | | | | |
| Objectives | To reduce energy consumption and maintenance burden by replacing existing inefficient lighting with more economical LED equivalent | | | | |
| Benefits | Simple calculation would indicate that lighting running costs would be reduced from c. £12k per year to c. £3k. Maintenance costs would also be reduced and the LED lamp will last approximately 5 times longer than the existing in the workshops. | | | | |
| How will the proposal contribute towards: Corporate | Supports a prosperous borough, provides a quality service and ensures we operate in a business like way. This will also contribute to reducing our carbon footprint | | | | |
| Priority? | | | | | |
| Local Area Agreement? | | | | | |
| Asset Management | | | | | |



| Plan? | |
|--|---|
| Other plans | |
| and strategies (please | |
| specify)? | |
| Constraints | Existing repair requirements. We need to replace the existing fitting and or lamps which is expensive and |
| | so an ideal time to replace with LED as MEWP would be required as works generally at high level. |
| (e.g. time, reliance on external funding, legal or technical factors) | |
| Is this scheme | No |
| already in the Capital Programme? | |
| (If so, has the work | |
| started or has the contract been let?) | |
| Does this scheme | No |
| create new assets, | |
| which the Council | |
| will need to replace in due course? If so, | |
| please give the asset | |
| life expectancies. | |
| Have Accountancy agreed that the | No |



| proposed expenditure should correctly be treated | |
|---|-------|
| as capital? | |
| Implications of proposal being rejected Maintenance costs would be nearly as high over a few years and consumption would be rearrable. | high. |
| Implications of proposal being delayed As above | |
| Alternative solutions Possible Salix loan or grant | |
| (If capital funding not available) | |
| Risks (outline risks and action required to meet them) Filing to meet our carbon footprint reduction targets | |
| How does this proposal impact on equalities? | |
| Are there any VAT implications? | |



| Capital Costs | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Expenditure | 2021/22 | 2022/23 | 2023/24 | 2024/25 | |
| Site Acquisition | | | | | |
| Construction | | | | | |
| Structural Maintenance | | | | | |
| Fees | | | | | |
| Vehicles, Plant, Furniture and Equipment | 27,000 | | | | |
| Grants and Contributions | | | | | |
| Other expenditure | | | | | |
| Total | 27,000 | | | | |
| Less external grants and contributions | | | | | |
| Less sales of related fixed assets | | | | | |
| Net cost to Tunbridge Wells Borough Council | 27,000 | | | | |



| Revenue Effects of Capital Expenditure | | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Expenditure / Income | 2021/22 | 2022/23 | 2023/24 | 2024/25 | | |
| Loss of Interest (3% of net cost) | 810 | | | | | |
| Additional revenue costs (please specify) | | | | | | |
| Reduced revenue costs (please specify) | -9,000 | -9,000 | -9,000 | -9,000 | | |
| Additional income (please specify) | | | | | | |
| Net cost to Tunbridge Wells Borough Council | -8,190 | -9,000 | -9,000 | -9,000 | | |

| Net Present Value (Please speak with Finance if | |
|---|--|
| you are unsure what this is) | |

Date the scheme discussed by the Head of Service with the relevant Portfolio Holder:

Supply email endorsing their support for the approval of funding by Cabinet.

Work must not commence without budgetary approval from Cabinet (or s151 in an emergency)